

NSF-ITP-92-115
TPI-MINN-92/52-T
September 1992

The Rule of Discarding $1/N_c$ in Inclusive Weak Decays. II

B. Blok

Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106
and

M. Shifman

Theoretical Physics Institute
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

Abstract

We calculate the leading preasymptotic correction to the inclusive width $b \rightarrow \bar{c}cs$ (two massive quarks in the final state) due to the operator $\vec{\sigma}\vec{H}$. It is found that this correction tends to cancel the $1/N_c$ part of the inclusive width calculated using naive factorization. The absolute value of the effect is of order 0.25. We also discuss how quark-hadron duality is realized in the inclusive decays.

1 Introduction

It was discovered recently [1, 2] that the dominant preasymptotic correction in the inclusive weak nonleptonic decays of heavy flavors is due to the operator of the type $\vec{\sigma}\vec{H}$ [3]. It is of order m_Q^{-2} relative to the asymptotic (parton) expression (m_Q is the mass of the decaying heavy quark) and occurs in the interference part of the amplitude. The sign of this correction is such that generically it tends to suppress the parton result for the interference part.

In this note we further investigate the effects of the soft-gluon emission reducible to the operator $\vec{\sigma}\vec{H}$. Two issues are considered: the transitions of the type $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$, with two massive quarks in the final state, and the distribution in the invariant mass of the final quark-antiquark pair. The analysis of the latter distribution allows us to trace, at least at the qualitative level, the origin of the leading preasymptotic correction in the interference part in terms of the exclusive channels [2, 3], and relate it to the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ in the weak exclusive decays [4, 5].

All notations and conventions are the same as in the first part of this work [2], hereafter referred to as I. The reader can also find in I an introduction to the preasymptotic corrections in weak inclusive decays and a representative list of references.

2 The leading preasymptotic correction in $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$

As it was explained in I, the effective weak Lagrangian we work with has the generic form

$$L_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_1 V_2 \{c_1 (\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu A)(\bar{\beta}\Gamma^\mu \alpha) + c_2 (\bar{B}_i\Gamma_\mu A^j)(\bar{\beta}_j\Gamma^\mu \alpha^i)\}. \quad (1)$$

The constant G_F in eq. (1) is the Fermi coupling constant, $V_{1,2}$ are the relevant CKM matrix elements, $c_{1,2}$ will be treated as numerical coefficients, $\Gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu(1 + \gamma_5)$. In the transition at hand we identify

$$A = b, \quad B = c, \quad \beta = s, \quad \alpha = c. \quad (2)$$

It is convenient to write the operators O_1 and O_2 in the following form:

$$O_2 = (\bar{\beta}\Gamma_\mu A)(\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu \alpha) \equiv (\bar{s}\Gamma_\mu b)(\bar{c}\Gamma_\mu c), \quad (3)$$

$$O_1 = \frac{1}{N_c} O_2 + \tilde{O}_1, \quad (4)$$

$$\tilde{O}_1 = 2(\bar{\beta}\Gamma_\mu t^a A)(\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu t^a \alpha) \equiv 2(\bar{s}\Gamma_\mu t^a b)(\bar{c}\Gamma_\mu t^a c), \quad (\text{Tr} t^a t^b = (1/2)\delta^{ab}). \quad (5)$$

In the parton model the interference part of $\Gamma(b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s)$ is well known in the literature (see e.g. ref. [6]).

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{m_{H_{A\bar{\gamma}}}} G_F^2 |V_1 V_2|^2 c_1 c_2 \text{Im} \langle H_{A\bar{\gamma}} | \int d^4 x i T \{ O_2(x) O_1^\dagger(0) \} | H_{A\bar{\gamma}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{G_F^2}{96\pi^3} |V_1 V_2|^2 c_1 c_2 M^5 F_3(m^2/M^2) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where m is the mass of the c quarks while M is that of b . The subscript "int" marks the interference part of the amplitude. The function F_3 reflects the effect of the nonvanishing c -quark mass – it would be 1 if $m=0$. Actually,

$$F_3(x) = v_0(1 - 14x - 2x^2 - 12x^3) + 24x^2(1 - x^2)\ln((1 + v_0)/(1 - v_0)), \quad (7)$$

$$v_0 = \sqrt{1 - 4x}, \quad x = m^2/M^2.$$

Observe that, in accordance with the general rule, $\Gamma_{\text{int}} \sim N_c^0$ while the non-interference part is proportional to N_c^1 .

The asymptotic (parton) expression for Γ_{int} given in eq. (6) obviously corresponds to the correlation function of O_2 with the trivial component of $O_1^\dagger$, namely that where the $\bar{c}c$ pair is in the color singlet state (see the decomposition in eq. (4); in the parton model only the term O_2/N_c is relevant). The leading preasymptotic correction is due to the second component of $O_1^\dagger$, namely $\tilde{O}_1$, with the $\bar{c}c$ pair in the color-octet state. This implies a soft gluon emission giving rise to the operator $\bar{b}\Gamma^\mu G_{\alpha\mu}^a t^a b$, see Fig.1. Calculation of the coefficient in front of the operator $\bar{b}\Gamma^\mu G_{\alpha\mu}^a t^a b$ is rather straightforward, we comment here only on a few basic points. Some details relevant to the discussion of the invariant mass distribution are given in Appendix.

Technically it is very convenient to carry out all computations using the background field method (a pedagogical review is presented in ref. [7]). We expand the quark Green functions in the background field keeping only the term $O(G^0)$ and $O(G^1)$ where G is a generic notation for the gluon field strength tensor. Terms of higher order in G or terms with derivatives are

irrelevant to the operator at hand. The quark propagator in the coordinate space has the form

$$S(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{\hat{x}}{x^4} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} m^2 x^2 K_2(m\sqrt{-x^2}) \right\} - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{x^\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha\varphi} \gamma^\varphi \gamma^5}{x^2} \quad (8)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{-x^2 m K_1(m\sqrt{-x^2})}{\sqrt{-x^2}} \right\} + (\text{terms with the even number of } \gamma \text{ matrices}).$$

We use the Schwinger gauge condition on the background field:

$$x_\mu A^\mu(x) = 0, \quad A_\mu \equiv g A_\mu^a t^a. \quad (9)$$

The expressions in braces account for the quark mass; they reduce to 1 in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$; $K_{1,2}$ stand for the corresponding McDonald functions. The terms in $S(x, 0)$ containing even number of γ matrices drop out because of the chiral structure of the operators $O_{1,2}$ (Γ 's in the vertices ensure that the only relevant part of $S(x, 0)$ is the one with the odd number of γ matrices).

Now, using the general structure of the Green function it is very easy to show that the gluon emission occurs only from the antiquark line (Fig.1). The emission from the c and s quark lines vanishes. This property could have been foreseen on general grounds. Indeed, the operators $O_{1,2}$ written in the form (4), force the gluon emission inside the $c\bar{c}$ loop. On the other hand, one could Fierz rearrange these operators prior to explicit computation. Then one will conclude that the gluon emission must occur inside the $\bar{c}s$ loop.

The actual calculation is carried out in two stages. The correlation function

$$\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{m_{H_{A\bar{\gamma}}}} G_F^2 |V_1 V_2|^2 c_1 c_2 \text{Im} < H_{A\bar{\gamma}} | \int d^4 x i T \{ O_2(x) \tilde{O}_1^+(0) \} | H_{A\bar{\gamma}} > \quad (10)$$

contains as a natural block the loop graph of Fig. 2 where the thick line denotes the c-quark Green function in the background gluon field, one of the vertices is $\Gamma_\mu t^a$ while the other one is Γ_ν . Since we are interested only in terms linear in $G_{\mu\nu}^a$, the position of the color matrix t^a is unimportant; one can move it freely along the thick line. Let us denote by q is the total momentum of the $c\bar{c}$ pair. Once the imaginary part of the loop in Fig. 2 is found as a function of q^2 it is quite trivial to determine the complete imaginary part in eq. (10) simply by integrating over the phase space of the s quark.

After these explanatory remarks let proceed directly to the results. The imaginary part of the Feynman graph on Fig. 2 is

$$\text{Im} D_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1-v^2}{sv} \{ \tilde{G}_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \} \quad (11)$$

where

$$s = q^2, \quad v \equiv (1 - 4m^2/s)^{1/2}, \quad (12)$$

and $D_{\mu\nu}^a$ is defined on Fig. 2. Notice that in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ the coefficient function in front of the braces in eq. (11) is actually $\delta(s)$; we will return to discussion of this point later.

Furthermore, the imaginary part in eq. (10) reduces to that of $D_{\mu\nu}^a$,

$$\text{Im } iT \{ \int d^4x O_2(x) \tilde{O}_1^+(0) \} = 2 \int (\text{Im} D_{\mu\nu}^a) \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{2p^0 (2\pi)^3} (\bar{b} t^a \Gamma^\mu \hat{p} \Gamma^\nu b) \quad (13)$$

where $p = (p^0, \vec{p})$ is the on-mass-shell momentum of the s quark and the factor of 2 is the same factor that appears in the definition of the operator $\tilde{O}_1$ (see eq. (5)).

Integration over the phase space is readily performed, and we arrive at the following expression for $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}$:

$$\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)} = - \frac{G_F^2 |V_1 V_2|^2 c_1 c_2}{m_{H_{A\bar{\gamma}}}} \frac{M^3}{24\pi^3} < H_{A\bar{\gamma}} | \bar{A} \tilde{G}_{0i} \gamma^i \gamma^5 A | H_{A\bar{\gamma}} > F_4(m^2/M^2) \quad (14)$$

where

$$F_4(x) = v_0(1 + (1/2)x + 3x^2) - 3x(1 - 2x^2) \ln \frac{(1+v_0)^2}{4x} \quad (15)$$

and the notations are the same as in eq. (7). In passing to eq. (14) we have restored the generic notations for the quarks and mesons, as in I. For instance $H_{A\bar{\gamma}}$ denotes the meson with the quark content $A\bar{\gamma}$, etc. It is worth reminding that A is the same as the b quark in the problem at hand.

The function F_4 reflects the masses of c and $\bar{c}$ in the final state. If $m=0$ $F_4(x=0) = 1$ and we reproduce the expression for $\Delta\Gamma_{\text{hadr}}$ from refs. [1, 2]. As explained in ref. [3] the hadronic matrix element in eq. (14) (it is written here in the A rest frame, assuming $M \rightarrow \infty$) is expressible in terms of the observable quantities

$$< H_{A\bar{\gamma}} | \bar{A} \tilde{G}_{0i} \gamma^i \gamma^5 A | H_{A\bar{\gamma}} > = 2m_{\sigma H}^2 M. \quad (16)$$

Here $m_{\sigma H}^2 = (3/4)(m_{B^*}^2 - m_B^2)$. Combining eqs. (6) and (16) we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}}{\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}} = -8 \frac{m_{\sigma H}^2}{M^2} \frac{F_4(x)}{F_3(x)}. \quad (17)$$

At this point we pause to mention a subtlety associated with the parameter M – the quark mass. Unlike $m_{\sigma H}^2$ this parameter is not directly observable and, hence, requires comments. A constant shift in M , i.e. independent of the heavy quark mass, gives rise to a power correction analogous to the one in eq. (17), generally speaking. In other words one can say that redefining M^5 in eq. (6) one generates a universal power correction in the non-leptonic widths of all A -flavored hadrons.

Since we are interested only in the leading power correction, the definition of the quark mass will not change $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}$. This is not the case in $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}$, however. As a matter of fact, it is not difficult to see that the bare graph corresponding to $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}$ (analog of Fig. 1, but with no soft gluon emission from the $c\bar{c}$ loop) is proportional to $P^4 \hat{P}$ where P is the momentum of the initial quark A . In terms of the covariant quantities one can identically rewrite [1] (see also Appendix)

$$\bar{A} P^4 \hat{P} A = \bar{A} \{ \hat{P}^5 - \frac{i}{2} ((G_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}) \hat{P}^3 + \hat{P}^3 (G_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta})) \} A \quad (18)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the covariant momentum operator, $\mathcal{P}_\mu = iD_\mu$. It is instructive to notice that in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ the operator $P^\alpha \bar{A} \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^\beta A$ appearing in eq. (14) and the operator $\bar{A} G_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} A$ in eq. (18) reduce to each other. Namely, in the nonrelativistic limit

$$\bar{A} \tilde{G}_{0i} \gamma^i \gamma^5 A \rightarrow -\bar{h}_A \vec{\sigma} \vec{H} h_A, \quad \bar{A} G_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} A \rightarrow 2i \bar{h}_A \vec{\sigma} \vec{H} h_A. \quad (19)$$

Eq. (18) implies (through equations of motion) that M^5 in eq. (6) is actually to be understood as $M_0^5 - 2M_0^3 m_{\sigma H}^2$ where M_0 is the "bare" quark mass entering equations of motion.

In the above arguments the Schwinger gauge condition on the background gluon field is always implied. Had we chosen another gauge for the background field additional graphs with the soft gluon line attached would appear. The final assertions are, of course, gauge independent.

Concluding the section let us present a few numerical estimates. We assume the b quark mass M to be equal to 4.5 GeV and the charmed quark

mass m to 1.35 GeV. Then $x \sim 0.1$, $F_3 = 0.15$, $F_4 = 0.23$. For the ratio of the factorizable and nonfactorizable parts of the $1/N_c$ piece of the amplitude we obtain

$$r = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}}{\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}} = -8 \frac{m_{\sigma H}^2}{m_B^2} \frac{F_4(0.1)}{F_3(0.1)} \sim -0.25. \quad (20)$$

It is seen that this ratio is numerically the same as the corresponding ratio in the $b \rightarrow \bar{c}ud$ channel, as it was calculated in refs. [1, 2].

3 Duality. The invariant mass distributions

This section is devoted to related questions: (i) how the interference part of the inclusive width might be saturated by specific hadronic states; (ii) duality in the asymptotic regime and preasymptotic corrections.

We will check the self-consistency of our approach. First, we will see that quark-hadron duality is valid at each step of our calculations. Second, we will demonstrate that the sum of exclusive channels nicely matches the inclusive width.

The discussion of how duality might be implemented in Γ_{int} was started in [8] (see also I). Here we present additional arguments which seemingly solve the problem at the qualitative level. The invariant mass distribution, to be considered below, has a remarkable structure, with far-going consequences.

Let us recall that in our calculation of the nonfactorizable contributions to the exclusive widths we used the expansion in the parameters (see [3])

$$\lambda \sim \frac{\Delta\mu}{Q^2}, \quad \lambda' = \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \quad (21)$$

where Δ is the energy release, μ is a typical off-shellness of quarks (gluons) inside hadrons and $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ can be considered roughly speaking as the invariant mass of the light quark pair forming the hadronic state at hand. If $\lambda' \ll 1$ and the operator product expansion is applicable we have two possible kinematical regimes for λ :

$$1) \quad \lambda \leq 1,$$

$$2) \quad \lambda \geq 1.$$

The first regime corresponds to exclusive decays analysed in [3] while the second one corresponds to the inclusive description. Generally speaking, situation with the power corrections in these two domains is different, and one should always keep this difference in mind. Still, one might hope to get a sort of matching, and we analyse this possibility. In other words, it will be explicitly checked how our predictions for exclusive and inclusive widths match each other.

Since the issue is quite general, to begin with it is convenient to get rid of inessential details and choose kinematics in such a way as to make all assertions most transparent.

Thus, we consider a generic process $A \rightarrow B\beta\bar{\alpha}$. We consider the kinematical regime $\lambda \geq 1$, relevant to inclusive processes and try to understand how the inclusive rate is saturated by exclusive channels. For simplicity we impose an additional constraint (which helps technically but is otherwise unimportant),

$$M_A, M_B \rightarrow \infty, M_A - M_B = \Delta \text{ fixed, } m_\alpha = m_\beta = 0. \quad (22)$$

This means that we to work in the small velocity (SV) limit [9], avoiding complications due to recoil dependence of various formfactors.

The central idea is as follows. In the asymptotic limit where the preasymptotic corrections are negligible there exist a natural line of reasoning showing that neither the naive factorization nor the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ can take place universally (see I). The actual situation is more complicated. Presumably, for the operator $O_1 = (\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu A)(\bar{\beta}\Gamma_\mu\alpha)$ the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ holds maximally while for the operator $O_2^+ = (\bar{A}\Gamma_\mu\beta)(\bar{\alpha}\Gamma^\mu B)$ it is maximally violated, and the naive factorization takes place.¹ The arguments substantiating the point are admittedly heuristic and are based on the notion of color transparency (see e.g. [10]).

Let us elucidate the above assertion in more detail. We consider now the limit of infinitely large $M_{A,B}$ with the preasymptotic corrections switched off. (The parton-model limit). As explained in I the absorptive part of the

¹We hasten to add that this asymptotic "inclusive" picture is quite opposite to what happens in the exclusive decay $B^0 \rightarrow D^+\pi^-$. It has been shown [3] that the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ is applicable to O_2 in this decay. The breakdown of the expected asymptotic picture in the exclusive channels is correlated with the preasymptotic corrections to the inclusive rates, as will be discussed below.

interference amplitude is contributed, in the general case, by the intermediate hadronic states of 3 distinct types.

(i) The operator $O_1 = (\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu A)(\bar{\beta}\Gamma^\mu\alpha)$ produces the state $\{B\bar{\gamma}\} + \{\beta\bar{\alpha}\}$ in the leading order in $1/N_c$; this state is absorbed by the operator $O_2^+ = (\bar{A}\Gamma_\mu\beta)(\bar{\alpha}\Gamma^\mu B)$ at the level $1/N_c$ (the figure bracket denotes a color-singlet meson state, possibly excited, with the given quark content);

(ii) the operator O_1 produces the state $\{B\bar{\alpha}\} + \{\beta\bar{\gamma}\}$ at the level $1/N_c$ which is then swallowed by O_2^+ in the leading order.

(iii) the operator O_1 produces the state $\{\beta\bar{\alpha}\} + \{B\bar{\beta}\} + \{\beta\bar{\gamma}\}$ at the level $1/\sqrt{N_c}$; this state is then annihilated by O_2^+ at the level $1/\sqrt{N_c}$.

Let us argue that only the first option is realized in the kinematic domain considered. The state $\{B\bar{\alpha}\} + \{\beta\bar{\gamma}\}$ that formally has a projection on O_1 of order $1/N_c$ actually has an intrinsic suppression by powers of Λ_{QCD}/Δ , where Δ is the energy release. ($\Delta = M_A - M_B$). Indeed, in the SV point ($\vec{v}_B \rightarrow 0$) the quark B "gently" substitutes A. The $\{\bar{\gamma}B\}$ system remains undistorted. The $\bar{\alpha}\beta$ pair produced at the time $t=0$ does not interact with the surrounding medium till $t \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$ in its rest frame, i.e. till $t \sim \Delta/\Lambda_{QCD}^2$ in the rest frame of A. By that time the $\bar{\alpha}\beta$ system is separated from $B\bar{\gamma}$ by distance of order $\Delta/\Lambda_{QCD}^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^{-1}$. From the point of view of the $\{\beta\bar{\alpha}\}$ system at this moment of time the state $\{B\bar{\gamma}\}$ presents a tiny white object far beyond the correlation length. Therefore, it disappears "below" the horizon and decouples (Fig. 3). The color twisted state $\{B\bar{\alpha}\} + \{\beta\bar{\gamma}\}$ does not evolve from O_1 . The corresponding suppression is governed not only by $1/N_c$; there is another parameter, Λ_{QCD}/Δ .

One can identically rewrite O_1 using the Fierz transformation,

$$O_1 = \frac{1}{N_c}(\bar{\beta}\Gamma_\mu A)(\bar{B}\Gamma^\mu\alpha) + 2(\bar{\beta}\Gamma_\mu t^a A)(\bar{B}\Gamma^\mu t^a\alpha). \quad (23)$$

This means that at short times the operator may O_1 form a superposition of two states of the type " $\beta\bar{\gamma}$ "_s + " $B\bar{\alpha}$ "_s plus " $\beta\bar{\gamma}$ "_o + " $B\bar{\alpha}$ "_o (where the subscripts *s* and *o* mark color singlet and octet), but at large times the octet $\times$ octet part cancels singlet $\times$ singlet, and the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ holds for the production of color-twisted states by O_1 (Fig. 4). In other words, the states of the type (ii) do not play a role in the saturation of $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}$.

Proceed now to the states of the type (iii). Here the situation seems to be the simplest. The production of the extra $\beta\bar{\beta}$ pair from $\{B\bar{\gamma}\}$ is suppressed

by $1/\sqrt{N_c}$ and $|\vec{v}_B|$, where $|\vec{v}_B|$ is the B-quark velocity in the A rest frame. Hence in the SV limit this contribution is absent.

The last point to be discussed is the states of the type (i) and their projection on O_2^+ . The operator O_2 after the Fierz rearrangement takes the form

$$O_2 = \frac{1}{N_c}(\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu A)(\bar{\beta}\Gamma^\mu\alpha) + 2(\bar{B}\Gamma_\mu t^a A)(\bar{\beta}\Gamma^\mu t^a\alpha) \quad (24)$$

It produces the desired state at the level $1/N_c$ plus the octet $\times$ octet component (Fig. 5). The latter includes a pair of fast light quarks. This latter component asymptotically vanishes, presumably due to the strong radiation of gluons (the Sudakov effect). Thus, for the color twisted states produced by O_2 we have exact naive factorization at the level of $1/N_c$. (Warning: we speak here of highly excited states typical of the inclusive production, see the footnote on page 8). As a result of this asymmetry in the hadronic saturation (the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ for O_1 and the naive factorization for O_2) the duality in the interference part of the amplitude is now perfectly satisfied. The origin of the asymmetry between O_1 and O_2 is specific kinematics, of course: the SV limit for B and large energy release – fast $\beta\bar{\alpha}$. Under different kinematic conditions the pattern of saturation can be different [8].

The naive factorization for the operator O_2 that we advocate here is of course not in contradiction with the results of ref. [3], where the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ has been shown to emerge for the same operator, but in the different kinematic regime. In ref. [3] the light quark pair we dealt with had a limited invariant mass, a few units times Λ_{QCD} . In the inclusive decays in the parton-model regime discussed above the distribution over the $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ invariant mass is of order Δ , and this parameter is assumed to be parametrically much larger than Λ_{QCD} . Therefore, the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ for small invariant masses and the naive factorization for large invariant masses for one and the same operator may well coexist. Shortly, when we proceed to the discussion of the preasymptotic corrections, we will find additional arguments supporting this assertion.

We saw above how duality is realized in $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}$. Let us now consider the preasymptotic corrections.

Now we come to the most essential question—the invariant mass distribution for the light quark pair ($\beta\bar{\alpha}$), produced by the operator O_1 and absorbed by O_2 . The point is that in the case of the soft gluon emission (operator $\vec{\sigma}\vec{H}$) this distribution is drastically different from the one that occurs in the parton

part of the amplitude. Indeed, let us analyse the expression for $\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a$, given by eq. (11) in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$. Recall that s is the invariant mass squared of the $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ pair. For fixed s and $m \rightarrow 0$ the parameter v defined in eq. (12) tends to 1 and formally $\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a$ vanishes (see eq. (11)). It is not difficult to understand, however, that the above assertion of vanishing takes place only at $s \neq 0$, and as a matter of fact at $m \rightarrow 0$ $\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a$ is proportional to $\delta(s)$. Specifically,

$$\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a |_{m \rightarrow 0} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta(s) (\tilde{G}_{\mu\alpha} q_\alpha q_\mu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)). \quad (25)$$

(Note the similarity of this expression to the Dolgov-Zakharov-'t Hooft approach to the triangle anomaly [11, 12]). Eq. (25) has a natural interpretation in terms of hadronic states. It implies that the hadronic states responsible for the leading preasymptotic correction are characterized by a small invariant mass of the $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ pair. Then, these quarks have to materialize in the form of *light* mesons, like π and ρ . In other words, the Dalitz plot, which is relatively homogeneously populated in the parton model is distorted in the domain corresponding to small invariant masses of $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ pair. The distortion corresponds to an extra negative contribution relative to that emerging in the factorized approximation. The operator O_2 which obeys the naive factorization for highly excited states (populating the interior of the Dalitz plot) switches to the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ for low invariant masses of $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ (i.e. at the boundary of the Dalitz plot).

Indeed, if we consider the interference part of the amplitude, in the factorized approximation in the low s domain, the contribution of $D\pi$, $D\rho$, etc. is obviously proportional to $\frac{f_\pi^2}{N_c} \Delta^3$. At the same time the preasymptotic correction due to $\vec{\sigma}\vec{H}$ is $\sim m_{\sigma H}^2 \Delta^3$. The ratio of these two effects is $N_c m_{\sigma H}^2 / f_\pi^2$, i.e. exactly the same as has been found in ref. [3] for exclusive decays of the type $B \rightarrow D\pi$, $B \rightarrow D\rho$. Thus, the correction discussed in I and in the present paper can be most probably associated with the distortion of the decay modes where $\beta\bar{\alpha}$ pair forms generic π 's and ρ 's – dynamical emergence of the rule of discarding $1/N_c$ in the exclusive decays of this kind.

It is instructive to trace not only the parametric dependence like $N_c m_{\sigma H}^2 / f_\pi^2$ mentioned above – which comes out correct – but to work out numbers as well. The contribution of $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ in the factorization approximation is

(neglecting the pion mass):

$$\Delta\Gamma_{\text{fact}} = m_B^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_D^2}{m_B^2}\right)^3 \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{f_\pi^2}{N_c} \times 2. \quad (26)$$

Here and below we measure $\Delta\Gamma$ in the units of $G_F^2 |V_1 V_2|^2 c_1 c_2$. The Isgur-Wise function $\xi = 1$ in the limit of eq. (22). Moreover, if we neglect m_ρ compared to Δ the contribution of $D\rho$ and $D^*\rho$ is the same as above, with an obvious substitution $f_\pi^2 \rightarrow f_\rho^2$. According to ref. [3] the nonfactorizable part of the same contribution for $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ is

$$\Delta\Gamma_{\text{n.f.}} = -m_B^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_D^2}{m_B^2}\right)^3 \frac{1}{64\pi^3} m_{\sigma H}^2 \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right). \quad (27)$$

Here the factor $4/3$ takes into account different degrees of cancellation of the $1/N_c$ parts in $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$; we also omit all factors like x or x' which are of order one but are not exactly fixed by our calculation (see ref. [3]). For the case of the decay with the production of ρ the answer is essentially the same, up to corrections due to the nonvanishing mass of the ρ meson. Neglecting this mass we just double the result. Thus, we get, summing the contributions of these four channels in the nonfactorizable part of Γ_{int} :

$$\Delta\Gamma_{\text{n.f.}} = -m_B^3 \left(1 - \frac{m_D^2}{m_B^2}\right)^3 \frac{1}{24\pi^3} m_{\sigma H}^2. \quad (28)$$

This result is remarkably close to the nonfactorizable contribution in the inclusive width $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}$ calculated in [1, 2]. As a matter of fact, eq. (26) in I gives for $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}$ the same expression with the substitution $(1/24\pi^3) \rightarrow (1/12\pi^3)$. Thus, if we stick literally to our formulae in the exclusive case we find that the nonfactorizable correction in the four exclusive channels is responsible for ~ 0.5 of the inclusive nonfactorizable correction. The remaining $1/2$ may be due to, say, a_1 contribution. It may well be, however, that various uncertainties of order one in our exclusive estimates actually add up to increase the nonfactorizable parts of some of these four exclusive amplitudes, so that they build up the inclusive correction (almost) entirely.

Summarizing, one can say that the nonfactorizable correction due to the operator $\bar{b}\tilde{G}_{0i}^a t^a \gamma^i \gamma^5 b$ in the inclusive width nicely matches the corresponding sum in the exclusive channels. Quark-hadron duality is valid at each step of our calculation.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we found that the leading preasymptotic correction to the inclusive width in the channel $b \rightarrow \bar{c}cs$ cancels about 25 % of the $1/N_c$ suppressed part of the inclusive width calculated in the naive parton model. As in I, this effect leads to an additional increase of the inclusive hadronic width in this channel by $\sim 5\%$. It would be interesting to have a direct experimental measurement of this width.

We have also shown that the analysis of the invariant mass distribution allows one, at least at the qualitative level, to trace the way quark-hadron duality is realised in the weak decays of heavy quarks, and to understand the relation between inclusive and exclusive widths. In particular, we have demonstrated, as it could be expected already from the results of I that the leading preasymptotic correction to the inclusive width of B meson is saturated by the exclusive widths of the type $B \rightarrow D + \text{light meson}$, $B \rightarrow D^* + \text{light meson}$, where the set of light mesons seems to be exhausted by π , ρ and may be A_1 and ρ' .

It would be of interest to calculate next-to-leading preasymptotic corrections both for $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ and $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ channels and to study further the perturbative QCD corrections [13].

The authors are grateful to A. Dobrovolskaya, A. Vainshtein and M. Whitterell for useful discussions.

5 Appendix. Calculations in External Fields in the Interference Part of the Amplitude

In this appendix we provide a few useful computational details relevant to the calculation of $\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a$, $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(1)}$, and the covariantization of the parton model calculation of $\Gamma_{\text{int}}^{(0)}$.

We consider first the c-quark loop in the external field. This loop can be formally written as

$$D_{\mu\nu}^a = i\text{Tr} \left\{ \Gamma_\mu t^a \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{P}} - \hat{q}/2 - m} \Gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{P}} + \hat{q}/2 - m} \right\} \quad (29)$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ is the formal covariant momentum operator (see ref. [7]). Since we are interested only in terms linear in $G_{\mu\nu}^a$, the gluon field strength tensor,

we can assume that $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ is constant (x -independent) c-number field. Using the general properties of the trace operation and the fact that in our approximation t^a can be moved to any position freely, it is trivial to show that $D_{\mu\nu}^a = D_{\nu\mu}^a$.

Now, we can use the fact that

$$(\hat{\mathcal{P}} - m)^{-1} = (\hat{\mathcal{P}} + m)(\mathcal{P}^2 - m^2 + \frac{i}{2}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

The term with $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta}$ is the magnetic emission, and this source of $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ is quite obvious. One should notice, though, that $G_{\mu\nu}^a$ is also hidden in $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$; this is the electric emission. Using eq. (30) we rewrite eq. (29) in the following form

$$D_{\mu\nu}^a = i\text{Tr} \left\{ \Gamma_\mu t^a (\hat{\mathcal{P}} - \hat{q}/2) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} - q/2)^2 - m^2 + \frac{i}{2}(\sigma G)} \Gamma_\nu \times \right. \quad (31)$$

$$\left. (\hat{\mathcal{P}} + \hat{q}/2) \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} + q/2)^2 - m^2 + \frac{i}{2}\sigma G} \right\}.$$

The mass terms drop out because of the chiral structure of Γ matrices.

For the magnetic emission we merely expand the denominators of the quark propagators in σG keeping only the linear term in the expansion. Since we are aimed at linear terms only, in all other places one can immediately substitute $P \rightarrow p$, the normal momentum, to be treated as a regular integration variable. Thus, calculation of this part is trivial. After some straightforward algebra we get in this way

$$\text{Im}(D_{\mu\nu}^a)_{\text{magn}} = -4i \{ G_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) + i(\tilde{G}_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)) \} \times \quad (32)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{3q^2} \left[\frac{3-v^2}{2v^2} (v\partial v) - 1 \right] \text{Im}D_0 \right\}.$$

Here D_0 is the bare loop of scalar colorless particles:

$$D_0 = -i \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(p + q/2)^2 - m^2} \times \frac{1}{(p - q/2)^2 - m^2}, \quad (33)$$

$$\text{Im}D_0 = \frac{v}{16\pi}, \quad v = (1 - 4m^2/q^2)^{1/2}.$$

Situation with the charge emission is somewhat more complicated. Now we omit (σG) terms in eq. (31). Then taking the trace over the Lorents (γ matrix) indices and isolating the structure of interest we arrive at

$$(D_{\mu\nu})_{\text{charge}} = 8i\text{Tr} \left\{ t^a (\mathcal{P} - q/2)_\mu \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} - q/2)^2 - m^2} (\mathcal{P} + q/2)_\nu \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} + q/2)^2 - m^2} \right. \\ \left. + (\nu \leftrightarrow \mu) \right\} \quad (34)$$

It is worth emphasizing that eq. (34) is automatically p-even (there is no p-odd contribution from the charge emission). It produces the structure $(D_{\mu\nu})_{\text{charge}} = F_{\text{ch}}(G_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + G_{\nu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\mu)$. In order to determine the coefficient F_{ch} in front of this structure it is convenient to apply the following trick. Let us introduce an arbitrary vector n_α with the properties $(nq) = 0, n_\alpha G_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. Then it is easy to obtain

$$F_{\text{ch}}(nG^a q) = 4i\text{Tr} \left\{ t^a (\mathcal{P}n) \times \right. \\ \left. \left[\frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} - q/2)^2 - m^2} \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} + q/2)^2 - m^2} - \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} + q/2)^2 - m^2} \frac{1}{(\mathcal{P} - q/2)^2 - m^2} \right] \right\}. \quad (35)$$

In eq. (35) we omitted some tadpole pieces that have no imaginary parts.

Let us take into account now that for any 2 operators

$$\frac{1}{O_1} \frac{1}{O_2} - \frac{1}{O_2} \frac{1}{O_1} = \frac{1}{O_1} \frac{1}{O_2} (O_1 O_2 - O_2 O_1) \frac{1}{O_2} \frac{1}{O_1}. \quad (36)$$

This fact implies that in the square brackets in eq. (35) we get the commutator

$$[((\mathcal{P} - q/2)^2 - m^2), ((\mathcal{P} + q/2)^2 - m^2)] = 4i\mathcal{P}^\alpha G_{\alpha\beta} q^\beta. \quad (37)$$

Now $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is explicitly singled out, and we can substitute $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow p$ everywhere. As a result

$$F_{\text{ch}}(nG^a q) = -8G_{\alpha\beta}^a \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} p^\rho p^\alpha \frac{1}{((p + q/2)^2 - m^2)^2} \frac{1}{((p - q/2)^2 - m^2)^2} n_\rho q^\beta \quad (38)$$

The integral in eq. (38) can be done directly. Alternatively, one can reduce its imaginary part to $\text{Im}D_0$ by differentiating D_0 with respect to q^2 and m^2 . Both derivatives are expressible in terms of $\partial/\partial v$. Moreover, since $\text{Im}D_0$ is

linear in v the second derivative $\partial^2/\partial v^2 \text{Im}D_0$ vanishes. In this way we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a)_{\text{charge}} &= -8i \frac{1}{3q^2} \left(\frac{v^2-3}{4v^2} v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{Im}D_0 (G_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)) \quad (39) \\ &= -\frac{2i(v^2-1)}{q^2 v^2} \text{Im}D_0 \{ G_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \}. \end{aligned}$$

In the sum corresponding to both magnetic plus electric emissions the p-even part cancels, and we are left with the p-odd part only,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a &= 2 \frac{1-v^2}{q^2 v^2} \text{Im}D_0 \{ \tilde{G}_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \} \quad (40) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1-v^2}{q^2 v} \{ \tilde{G}_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \}. \end{aligned}$$

This expression, obviously, is due to parity violating combination of Γ 's ($\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \times \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\mu \times \gamma_\nu \gamma_5$) in eq. (29).

It is instructive to notice that at $m^2 \rightarrow 0$ $\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a$ tends to a delta function

$$\text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a \big|_{m^2 \rightarrow 0} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta(q^2) \{ \tilde{G}_{\mu\alpha}^a q^\alpha q_\nu + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \}. \quad (41)$$

Let us proceed now to calculation of the full imaginary part in eq. (10). This calculation reduces now to (see Fig. 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\mathcal{M} &\equiv \text{Im} \int d^4x iT \{ O_2(x) \tilde{O}_1^+(0) \} = \quad (42) \\ &= 2 \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{2p^0 (2\pi)^3} \text{Im}D_{\mu\nu}^a (\bar{b} t^a \Gamma^\mu \hat{p} \Gamma^\nu b) \end{aligned}$$

where p is the momentum of the massless s quark (p is on mass shell, $p^2 = 0$). The factor 2 in the r.h.s. reflects the factor of 2 in the definition of $\tilde{O}_1$.

Integration over p is best done in the rest frame of b . Integrating over angles one finds

$$\text{Im}\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{24\pi^3} \int \frac{m^2 ds}{s^2 v} \frac{1}{M^3} (\bar{b} \tilde{G}_{0i}^a t^a \gamma^i \gamma^5 b) (M^2 - s)^2 (2M^2 + s) \quad (43)$$

where $s \equiv q^2$ is the invariant mass of the $c\bar{c}$ pair, M is the b-quark mass.

Doing the integral in eq. (43) we arrive to eqs. (14), (15).

It is instructive to check that the gluon emission from the line lying outside the closed quark loop vanishes, irrespectively of the relation between the masses of the quarks that propagate along the different lines. To this end it is sufficient to use eq. (8) for the quark Green function. The quark loop is formed from two bare propagators, while in the line lying outside the loop we take the $O(G)$ term in eq. (8). The terms with the even number of γ matrices (omitted in eq. (8)) are irrelevant, since they drop out due to the chiral structure of Γ 's. Using eq. (8) it is easy to see that the closed quark loop yields $(2x_\mu x_\nu - x^2 g_{\mu\nu})$ times a function of x^2 . The line lying outside the closed quark loop produces $x^\alpha \tilde{G}_{\alpha\varphi} \bar{b} \Gamma^\nu \gamma^\varphi \gamma^5 \Gamma^\mu b$ times a function of q^2 . Convoluting these two structures we get identical zero.

Our last explanatory remark refers to eq. (7). Calculating the bare loop of Fig. 7 we get a local operator of the type $\bar{b} \partial^4 \hat{\partial} b$, the intermediate quark line shrinks into a point. The operator $\bar{b} \partial^4 \hat{\partial} b$ contains derivatives which should be rewritten in terms of covariant quantities, say, for the purpose of using the equations of motion.

Let us assume for definiteness that the operator $\bar{b} \partial^4 \hat{\partial} b$ is taken at the origin (this assumption is technical and final result does not depend on it). The four-potential can be chosen in the form

$$A_\mu^a = \frac{1}{2} x^\rho G_{\rho\alpha}. \quad (44)$$

The fact that $A(0) = 0$ is the consequence of the Schwinger gauge condition imposed on the external field. Eq. (44) assumes this condition, and, if not stated to the contrary, all specific calculations made above are done in this gauge. In particular, the assertion that there is no A_μ^a leg associated with the line lying outside the closed quark loop is also specific to this gauge. In the Schwinger gauge the result for the interference term $\langle O_2 O_1^+ \rangle$ reduces to $\langle O_2 \tilde{O}_1^+ \rangle \sim \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a$ plus the correlator $\frac{1}{N_c} \langle O_2, O_2^+ \rangle$ given by the only graph depicted on Fig. 7. (modulo terms of higher order in A_μ^a). Using eq. (44) and the remarks above it is easy to obtain the expression for $P^4 \hat{P}$. We start from

$$\bar{b}(0) \mathcal{P}^4 \hat{P} b(0) = \bar{b}(0) (P + A)^4 (\hat{P} + \hat{A}) b(0), P = i\partial_\mu \quad (45)$$

and commute all the A 's to the left of all P 's. Once A is in the leftmost

position we use the fact that $A(0) = 0$. In the Schwinger gauge

$$[P_\beta A_\alpha] = \frac{i}{2} G_{\beta\alpha}.$$

In this way we arrive at

$$\mathcal{P}^4 \hat{\mathcal{P}} = P^4 \hat{P} - \frac{i}{2} [(G\sigma) \hat{P}^3 - \hat{P}^3 (G\sigma)]. \quad (46)$$

Besides that

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^2 - \frac{i}{2} (G\sigma). \quad (47)$$

Combining equations (46) and (47) one finds

$$P^4 \hat{P} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^5 - \frac{i}{2} [(G\sigma) \hat{P}^3 + \hat{P}^3 (G\sigma)] + O(G^2) \quad (48)$$

which is equivalent to eq. (7).

References

- [1] I. Bigi, N. Uraltsev and A. Vainshtein, preprint FERMILABPUB-92/158-T.
- [2] B. Blok and M. Shifman, preprint NSF-ITP-92-103.
- [3] B. Blok and M. Shifman, preprint NSF-ITP-92-76 (Nuclear Physics B, in press).
- [4] M. Bauer, B. Stech and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C34 (1987) 103.
- [5] A.J. Buras, J.-M. Gerard and R. Rueckl, Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 16.
- [6] J. L. Cortes, X.Y. Pham and A. Tounsi, Phys. Rev., D25 (1982) 188.
- [7] V. Novikov, M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein and V. Zakharov, Fortsch. Phys. 32 (1984) 585.
- [8] M. Shifman, preprint TPI-MINN-91/46-T (Nuclear Physics B, in press).
- [9] M. Voloshin and M. Shifman, Yad. Fiz. 47 (1988) 80 [Sov. Journ. Nucl. Phys. 47 (1988) 511].
- [10] J. Bjorken, preprint SLAC-PUB-5278 (1990), Invited talk at Les Rencontres de la Valle d'Aosta, La Thuille, Italy (1990)
- [11] A. Dolgov and V. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B27 (1971) 525.
- [12] G. 't Hooft, in: *Recent Developments in Gauge Theories*, eds. G. 't Hooft et al. (Plenum Press, New York, 1980), page 241.
- [13] G. Bodwin, E. Braaten, T.C. Yuan and G. P. Lepage, preprint ANL-HEP-PR-92-63, NUHEP-TH-92-16.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Imaginary part of such graphs determines the inclusive widths in the asymptotic regime.

Fig.2 A subgraph that determines the invariant mass distribution.

Fig.3 Creation of the states of the type (i) in the color transparency picture.

Fig.4 Creation of the states by the operator O_1 at the level $\frac{1}{N_c}$.

Fig.5 Creation of the states by the operator O_2 at the level $\frac{1}{N_c}$.

Fig.6 Calculation of the correlator $\frac{1}{N_c} \langle O_2, O_2^+ \rangle$.